arXiv:1102.2961v1 [math.CO] 15 Feb 2011

Log concavity of $(1+x)^m(1+x^k)$

David Handelman

Let m and $k \geq 2$ be positive integers. We determine necessary and sufficient conditions for the polynomial $P = (1+x)^m(1+x^k)$ to be strongly unimodal (frequently known as *log concave*; see [S] for an extensive discussion of the combinatorial significance). Among the surprises is that the criterion, $m \geq k^2 - 3$, is also the criterion for P to be merely unimodal (that is, for P of this form, unimodality implies strong unimodality).

Let $p = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$ be a polynomial with nonnegative coefficients. Then p is *unimodal* if the distribution of coefficients, $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is unimodal, that is, there exists k with $0 \leq k \leq n$ such that $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_k \geq a_{k+1} \geq \cdots \geq a_n$ (in this definition, if the index j is less than zero or exceeds n, then $a_j = 0$). The polynomial p is *strongly unimodal* if the function $i \mapsto a_i$ is log concave (which amounts to $a_i^2 \geq a_{i+1}a_{i-1}$) and $a_i a_{i+2} \neq 0$ implies $a_{i+1} \neq 0$.

In the combinatorics literature, strongly unimodal polynomials are referred to as log concave (this can cause confusion when the polynomials are treated as functions, in which case log concave has a different meaning). The term strongly unimodal dates back to an undeservedly-neglected 1956 paper of Ibragimov [I], wherein he proves (among other things) that a product of a strongly unimodal polynomial with a unimodal one is unimodal, and this property characterizes strong unimodality.

A *reparameterization* [BH] (known as a *tilting* in [P]) of the polynomial p is the new polynomial $p_\lambda(x) := p(\lambda x) = \sum a_i \lambda^i x^i$ for some positive real λ. It is an easy observation from [BH] that p is strongly unimodal if and only if for every reparameterization, p_λ is unimodal.

It is known [H] that if p is any real polynomial with no positive real roots, then there exists N such that $(1+x)^N p$ is strongly unimodal. So it seemed of interest to determine the smallest choice of N when p has particularly spread out roots (if all roots of p lie in the segment $|\arg z - \pi| \leq \pi/3$, then p is already strongly unimodal; on the other hand, if some roots have small argument, then the N will likely have to be large).

We use inner product notation to denote coefficients; thus if $p = \sum a_i x^i$, then $a_i = (p, x^i)$.

THEOREM Let m and $k \geq 2$ be positive integers, and set $P = (1+x)^m(1+x^k)$. The following are equivalent.

(a) P is strongly unimodal

(b) P is unimodal

(c) $\begin{cases} \left(P, x^{\frac{m+k-3}{2}}\right) \leq \left(P, x^{\frac{m+k-1}{2}}\right) & \text{if } m+k \text{ is odd} \\ \left(P, x^{\frac{m+k-2}{2}}\right) \leq \left(P, x^{\frac{m+k}{2}}\right) & \text{if } m+k \text{ is even} \end{cases}$

(d) $m \geq k^2 - 3$.

Obviously (a) implies (b). Since P is symmetric about $(m+k)/2$, (b) implies (c). The implication (c) $\Longrightarrow$ (d) is not difficult. The bulk of the work involves showing (d) implies (a).

For the proof of (c) implies (d) and a small portion of the proof of (d) implies (a), we compute (P, x^j) for several values of j near the centre of the distribution. Here $P = (1+x)^m(1+x^k)$, and $j = (m+k-1)/2, (m+k-3)/2, (m+k-5)/2, (m+k-7)/2$ if $m+k$ is odd, and $j = (m+k)/2, (m+k-2)/2$ if $m+k$ is even. Write $j = (m+k-\rho)/2$, where $0 \leq \rho \leq 5$ and is an odd integer.

First, suppose that $m+k$ is odd. Set $Q(\rho) = (P, x^j)((m+k-5)/2)!((m-k-5)/2)!/m!$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(1) &= \frac{32}{(m+k-3)(m+k-1)(m-k-3)(m-k-1)(m-k+1)} \quad + \\
&\qquad \frac{32}{(m+k-3)(m+k-1)(m-k-3)(m-k-1)(m+k+1)} \\
&= \frac{32}{(m+k-3)(m+k-1)(m-k-3)(m-k-1)} \left(\frac{1}{m-k+1} + \frac{1}{m+k+1} \right) \\
&= \frac{64(m+1)}{((m-3)^2-k^2)((m-1)^2-k^2)((m+1)^2-k^2)}; \\
Q(3) &= \frac{32}{(m+k-3)(m-k-3)(m-k-1)(m-k+1)(m-k+3)} \quad + \\
&\qquad \frac{32}{(m-k-3)(m+k-3)(m+k-1)(m+k+1)(m+k+3)} \\
&= \frac{32}{(m-3)^2-k^2} \left(\frac{1}{(m-k-1)(m-k+1)(m-k+3)} + \frac{1}{(m+k-1)(m+k+1)(m+k+3)} \right) \\
&= \frac{64(m+1)(m^2+2m+3(k^2-1))}{((m-3)^2-k^2)((m-1)^2-k^2)((m+1)^2-k^2)((m+3)^2-k^2)} \\
Q(5) &= \frac{32}{\prod_{l=0}^{4}(m-k+2l-3)} + \frac{32}{\prod_{l=0}^{4}(m+k+2l-3)} \\
&= \frac{32\left(\prod_{l=0}^{4}(m-k+2l-3) + \prod_{l=0}^{4}(m+k+2l-3)\right)}{\prod_{l=0}^{4}((m+2l-3)^2-k^2)} \\
&= \frac{64((m+1)(m^4+4m^3+(10k^2-14)m^2+(20k^2-36)m+5k^4-50k^2+45)}{\prod_{l=0}^{4}((m+2l-3)^2-k^2)} \\
Q(7) &= \frac{32(m+k-5)}{\prod_{l=0}^{5}(m-k+2l-3)} + \frac{32(m-k-5)}{\prod_{l=0}^{5}(m+k+2l-3)} \\
&= \frac{64(m+1)(m^6+6m^5+(21k^2-41)m^4+(84k^2-204)m^3+(35k^4-434k^2+463)m^2+}{\prod_{l=0}^{5}(m+k+2l-3)} \\
&\qquad \frac{(70k^4-1036k^2+1350)m-245k^4+7k^6+1813k^2-1575)}{\prod_{l=0}^{5}(m+k+2l-3)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The bottom lines for $Q(5)$ and $Q(7)$ were found with *Maple*. In the latter case, the second factor in the numerator had to be broken over two lines (fortunately, it simplifies drastically when we substitute $m = k^2 - 3$). We don't require those two until much later in the paper, but this is a convenient time to deal with them.

We first examine $Q(3)/Q(1)$; by symmetry of the distribution of coefficients of P, if the latter is unimodal, then $Q(3)/Q(1) \leq 1$. We show that this is the case if and only if $m \geq k^2 - 3$ (still under the assumption that $m + k$ is odd), and moreover, if $m = k^2 - 3$ (so that $m + k$ *is* odd), then $Q(3) = Q(1)$.

$$\frac{\left(P, x^{\frac{m+k-3}{2}}\right)}{\left(P, x^{\frac{m+k-1}{2}}\right)} = \frac{Q(3)}{Q(1)} = \frac{(m+1)(m^2+2m+3(k^2-1))}{(m+1)((m+3)^2-k^2)}$$
$$= \frac{m^2+2m+3(k^2-1)}{(m+3)^2-k^2}$$

Expanding this (and assuming, as usual, that $m, k \geq 2$), we deduce that $\left(P, x^{\frac{m+k-3}{2}}\right) \leq \left(P, x^{\frac{m+k-1}{2}}\right)$ if and only if $m \geq k^2-3$, with equality if and only if $m = k^2-3$.

For $m+k$ even, computing $\left(P, x^{\frac{m+k-2}{2}}\right) \Big/ \left(P, x^{\frac{m+k}{2}}\right)$ (the ratio of one term away from the centre to the central term) is very easy, and we obtain

$$\frac{\left(P, x^{\frac{m+k-2}{2}}\right)}{\left(P, x^{\frac{m+k}{2}}\right)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{m+k}{m-k+2} + \frac{m-k}{m+k+2}\right)$$
$$= \frac{m^2+k^2+2m}{m^2+4m+4-k^2}.$$

It follows immediately that when $m+k$ is even, $\left(P, x^{\frac{m+k-2}{2}}\right) \leq \left(P, x^{\frac{m+k}{2}}\right)$ if and only if $m \geq k^2-2$ with equality only when $m = k^2-2$.

The two cases (depending on the parity of $m+k$) yield (c) implies (d). The first case also shows that when $m = k^2-3$ (which entails that $m+k$ is odd), the four consecutive middle coefficients (that is, positions $(m+k\pm 3)/2, (m+k\pm 1)/2$) are equal.

Next we show that when $m = k^2-3$, $\left(P, x^{\frac{m+k-5}{2}}\right) < \left(P, x^{\frac{m+k-3}{2}}\right)$, equivalently, $Q(5) < Q(3)$; more importantly, the ratio is determined exactly. Note that $m+1$ divides the numerator of $Q(5)$; this allows a simplification. We note that

$$\frac{\left(P, x^{\frac{m+k-5}{2}}\right)}{\left(P, x^{\frac{m+k-3}{2}}\right)} = \frac{Q(5)}{Q(3)} = \frac{m^4+4m^3+(10k^2-14)m^2+(20k^2-36)m+5k^4-50k^2+45}{(m^2+2m+3(k^2-1))((m+5)^2-k^2)}$$
$$= \frac{m^4+4m^3+(10k^2-14)m^2+(20k^2-36)m+5k^4-50k^2+45}{m^4+12m^3+(42+2k^2)m^2+(20+28k^2)m-3k^4+78k^2-75}.$$

Now assume $m = k^2-3$; the numerator factors (courtesy of *Maple*) as $k^2(k-1)(k-2)(k+2)(k+1)(k^2+7)$ and the denominator as $k^2(k-1)(k+1)(k^2+k+2)(k^2-k+2)$, so

$$\left.\frac{Q(5)}{Q(3)}\right|_{m=k^2-3} = \frac{(k-2)(k+2)(k^2+7)}{(k^2+k+2)(k^2-k+2)}$$
$$= \frac{k^4+3k^2-28}{k^4+3k^2+4} = 1 - \frac{32}{k^4+3k^2+4}.$$

In particular, when $m = k^2-3$, $\left(P, x^{\frac{m+k-5}{2}}\right) < \left(P, x^{\frac{m+k-3}{2}}\right)$.

Both $Q(5)$ and $Q(7)$ have common factors of $64(m+1)$; when we factor these out and set $m = k^2-3$, the result for $Q(7)$ factors as simply $k^2(k^2-1)(k^2-4)(k^6+14k^4-63k^2-272)$. Since

$(m+7)^2-k^2$ evaluates to $(k^2+4)^2-k^2$, we deduce

$$\left.\frac{Q(7)}{Q(5)}\right|_{m=k^2-3} = \frac{k^6+14k^4-63k^2-272}{((k^2+4)^2-k^2)(k^2+7)}$$
$$= \frac{k^6+14k^4-63k^2-272}{k^6+14k^4+65k^2+112} = 1-\frac{128(k^2-3)}{k^6+14k^4+65k^2+112}.$$

Now we prove (d) implies (a). It suffices to do this only in the case that $m=k^2-3$ because multiplication by $1+x$ (or any other strongly unimodal polynomial) preserves strong unimodality. *Maple* has verified strong unimodality of P for $2\le k\le 41$. For each positive λ, define $P\equiv P_\lambda$ via

$$P(x) = (1+\lambda x)^m(1+(\lambda x)^k).$$

Assume $m=k^2-3$, where $k\ge 42$ is an integer. We show that for all $\lambda>0$, P_λ is unimodal, hence P_1 (our original P) is strongly unimodal. Symmetry of P_1 implies that it is enough to show unimodality of P_λ for all $0<\lambda\le 1$. The first observation is that the sequence $\big((P,x^j)\big)$ is increasing for $0\le j\le \lambda m/(1+\lambda)$ and decreasing for $j\ge \lambda m/(1+\lambda)+k$. Hence we need only consider the integers j in the interval $(\lambda m/(1+\lambda), \lambda m/(1+\lambda)+k)$. It is trivial to verify that P_λ is unimodal for $0<\lambda\le k(1+\lambda)/m$, so we may assume $\lambda > k(1+\lambda)/m$.

Define (for λ fixed),

$p(j) := (P, x^{j+1}-x^j)$; then,

$$A(j) := \frac{p(j)(m-j)}{\lambda^j\binom{m}{(j+1)}} = \lambda(m-j)-(j+1)+\frac{\binom{m}{j+1-k}}{\binom{m}{j+1}}\left(\frac{\lambda(m-j)(m-j+k)-(m-j)(j-k+1)}{m-j+k}\right)$$
$$= \lambda m-(1+\lambda)j-1+\frac{\binom{m}{j+1-k}}{\binom{m}{j+1}}\frac{m-j}{m-j+k}\left(\lambda m-(1+\lambda)j+(1+\lambda)k-1\right).$$

Next we observe that

$$\frac{\binom{m}{j+1-k}}{\binom{m}{j+1}} = \prod_{s=1}^{k}\frac{j-k+s+1}{m-j-1+s}$$
$$= \prod_{s=1}^{k}\frac{j-s+2}{m-j-1+s}, \text{ and moreover,}$$
$$\frac{\frac{m-j}{m-j+k}}{\prod_{s=1}^{k}(m-j+s-1)} = \frac{1}{\prod_{s=2}^{k+1}(m-j-1+s)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\prod_{s=1}^{k}(m-j+s)}.$$

Thus

$$A(j)\prod_1^k(m-j+s) = (\lambda m-(1+\lambda)j-1)\prod_1^k(m-j+s)+(\lambda m-(1+\lambda)j+(1+\lambda)k-1)\prod_1^k(j-s+2);$$

now setting $r=j-\lambda m/(1+\lambda)$ with $0<r<k$,

$$= (-1-(1+\lambda)r)\prod_1^k\left(\frac{m}{1+\lambda}-r+s\right)+((1+\lambda)(k-r)-1)\prod_1^k\left(\frac{\lambda m}{1+\lambda}+r-s+2\right)$$
$$= \lambda^k\left((1+\lambda)(k-r)-1\right)\prod_1^k\left(\frac{m}{1+\lambda}+\frac{r-s+2}{\lambda}\right)-((1+\lambda)r+1)\prod_1^k\left(\frac{m}{1+\lambda}-r+s\right)$$

In particular, $p(j) \geq 0$ (that is, the coefficients of P are increasing at j) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(1+\lambda)(k-r)-1}{(1+\lambda)r+1} &\geq \prod_1^k \frac{\frac{m}{1+\lambda} - r + s}{\frac{\lambda m}{1+\lambda} + r - s + 2} \\
&= \lambda^{-k} \prod_1^k \frac{\frac{m}{1+\lambda} - r + s}{\frac{m}{1+\lambda} - \frac{s-r-2}{\lambda}} \qquad (1) \\
&= \lambda^{-k} \prod_1^k \left(1 + \frac{(s-r)(1+1/\lambda) - 2/\lambda}{\frac{m}{1+\lambda} - \frac{s-r-2}{\lambda}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

(We use the forms of both lines 1 and 3 of (1) in what follows.)

Set $M = m/(1+\lambda)$. The left side of (1) is less than k. The right side of the expression (first line) is larger than $((M-k)/(M\lambda+k))^k$; since this is monotone decreasing in λ, the minimum the expression achieves on the interval $(k/M, \alpha]$ (where α is some number less than 1) occurs when $\lambda = \alpha$.

We evaluate it, assuming $k \geq 42$ and $1 > \alpha > 1/2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{M-k}{M\alpha+k}\right)^k &= \alpha^{-k}\left(1 - \frac{k(1+\frac{1}{\alpha})}{M + \frac{k}{\alpha}}\right)^k \\
&= \alpha^{-k} \exp -k\left(\frac{k(1+\frac{1}{\alpha})}{M+\frac{k}{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{k(1+\frac{1}{\alpha})}{M+\frac{k}{\alpha}}\right)^2 + \dots\right) \\
&\geq \alpha^{-k}\left(1 - \frac{(1+1/\alpha)^2}{2M^2/k^3}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-k^2(1+\frac{1}{\alpha})}{M + k/\alpha}\right) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\alpha^k} e^{-9/2}\,(1 - 10/k) \geq \frac{1}{\alpha^k}.75e^{-9/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we choose any $\alpha > 1/2$ with $\alpha \leq 1 - (5 + \ln k)/k$, then it is easy to see that $.75e^{-9/2}/\alpha^k \geq k$. Hence $p(j)$ is negative for $0 < r < k$, and thus for any $\lambda \leq 1 - (5+\ln k)/k$, P_λ is decreasing on the interval $\mathbf{Z} \cap (\lambda M, \lambda M + k)$; in particular, this implies that P_λ is unimodal. So we are reduce to considering the case that $1 \geq \lambda > 1 - (5 + \ln k)/k$. The next, highly intricate, stage is to show unimodality for $\lambda < 1 - 7/k^2$, and finally there will remain only a few special cases to consider.

Let $G(r)$ be the logarithm of the left side of (1) and $H(r)$ the logarithm of the right side [first line]. From $\ln g = \ln((1+\lambda)(k-r)-1) - \ln((1+\lambda)(k-r)+1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G'(r) &= -\frac{1+\lambda}{(1+\lambda)(k-r)-1} + \frac{1+\lambda}{(1+\lambda)r+1} \\
&= -\frac{k}{(k - r - \frac{1}{1+\lambda})(r + \frac{1}{1+\lambda})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Set $M = m/(1+\lambda)$. From $H = \ln h = -k\ln\lambda + \sum_1^k (\ln(M+s-r) - \ln(M - (s-r-2)/\lambda))$, we have

$$H'(r) = -\sum_{s=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{M+s-r} + \frac{1}{M-(s-r-2)/\lambda}\right).$$

Now suppose that $g(r_0) < h(r_0)$, so that $G(r_0) < H(r_0)$. If $G - H$ is decreasing on $[r_0, r]$, then $G - H$ is negative on this interval, so that $g < h$ on the interval, hence $p(j) < 0$, and if we

can arrange that this hold for r sufficiently close to $k/2$, then (P, x^j) is unimodal. We consider when the following inequality holds,

$$\frac{k}{(k-r-\frac{1}{1+\lambda})(r+\frac{1}{1+\lambda})} > \sum_{s=1}^{k}\left(\frac{1}{M+s-r}+\frac{1}{M-(s-r-2)/\lambda}\right). \tag{2}$$

When this holds, $(G-H)' < 0$, so that $G-H$ is decreasing. We estimate the right side using the first few terms of Euler-Maclaurin summation,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} f(i) = \int_0^n f + \frac{1}{2}(f(n)+f(0)) + \frac{1}{12}(f'(n)-f'(0)) + R_0,$$

where $|R_0| \le \frac{2}{(2\pi)^3}\int_0^n |f^{(3)}|$, and if $f^{(3)}$ has constant sign on $[0,n]$, then $\operatorname{sign} R = \operatorname{sign} f^{(3)}$.

Set $M_0 = M-r+1$, so that $\sum_1^k 1/(M+s-r) = \sum_0^{k-1} 1/(M_0+s)$, and set $n = k-1$ and $f(x) = 1/(M_0+x)$. Then $f' = -1/(M_0+x)^2$, $f'' = 2/(M_0+x)^3$, $f''' = -6/(M_0+x)^4$ so that the remainder term, R_0, is negative. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{s=1}^{k}\frac{1}{M+s-r} &\le \int_0^{k-1}\frac{dx}{M_0+x} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{M_0+k-1}+\frac{1}{M_0}\right) + \frac{1}{12}\left(-\frac{1}{(M_0+k-1)^2}+\frac{1}{M_0^2}\right)\\ &= \ln\frac{M_0+k-1}{M_0} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{M_0+k-1}+\frac{1}{M_0}\right) + \frac{1}{12}\left(-\frac{1}{(M_0+k-1)^2}+\frac{1}{M_0^2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

and $|R_0| \le 4/(2\pi)^3(1/M_0^3 - 1/(M_0+k-1)^3) < k/20M_0^4$.

The second sum is slightly different: $\sum_1^k 1/(M-(s-r-2)/\lambda) = \sum_0^{k-1} M-(s-r-1)/\lambda$; set $M_1 = M+(r+1)/\lambda$ and $f = 1/(M_1 - x/\lambda)$. Then all derivatives of f are nonnegative, so the remainder term, R_1, is positive. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{s=1}^{k}\frac{1}{M-\frac{s-r-2}{\lambda}} &= \int_0^{k-1}\frac{dx}{M_1-\frac{x}{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{M_1-\frac{k-1}{\lambda}}+\frac{1}{M_1}\right) + \frac{1}{12\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{(M_1-\frac{k-1}{\lambda})^2}-\frac{1}{M_1^2}\right) + R_1\\ &= \lambda\ln\frac{M_1}{M_1-\frac{k-1}{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{M_1-\frac{k-1}{\lambda}}+\frac{1}{M_1}\right) + \frac{1}{12\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{(M_1-\frac{k-1}{\lambda})^2}-\frac{1}{M_1^2}\right) + R_1,\end{aligned}$$

and $0 \le R_1 \le k/20M_1^4$.

Now we estimate the various terms and add them up.

$$\begin{aligned}\ln\frac{M_0+k-1}{M_0} &= \ln\left(1+\frac{k-1}{M_0}\right) = \frac{k-1}{M_0} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k-1}{M_0}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{k-1}{M_0}\right)^3 - \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{k-1}{M_0}\right)^4 + \dots\\ &\le \frac{k-1}{M_0} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k-1}{M_0}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{k-1}{M_0}\right)^3\\ \lambda\ln\frac{M_1}{M_1-\frac{k-1}{\lambda}} &= \lambda\left(-\ln\frac{M_1-\frac{k-1}{\lambda}}{M_1}\right)\\ &= \lambda\left(\frac{k-1}{\lambda M_1} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k-1}{\lambda M_1}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{k-1}{\lambda M_1}\right)^3 + \dots\right)\end{aligned}$$

A simple computation reveals that $1/M_0^2 - 1/(M_0+k-1)^2 < 3(k-1)/M_0^3$ when $k > 11$ and similarly $1/(M_1-(k-1)/\lambda)^2 - 1/M_1^2 \le 3(k-1)/\lambda M_1^3$ for k at least 11. We expand $1/(M_0+k-1)$ and $1/(M_1-(k-1)/\lambda)$ by their obvious geometric series expansions, e.g.,

$$\frac{1}{M_0+k-1} = \frac{1}{M_0}\cdot\frac{1}{1+\frac{k-1}{M_0}} = \frac{1}{M_0}\left(1 - \frac{k-1}{M_0} + \left(\frac{k-1}{M_0}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{k-1}{M_0}\right)^3 + \dots\right)$$
$$\frac{1}{M_1-\frac{k-1}{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{M_1}\cdot\frac{1}{1-\frac{k-1}{\lambda M_1}} = \frac{1}{M_1}\left(1 + \frac{k-1}{\lambda M_1} + \left(\frac{k-1}{\lambda M_1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{k-1}{\lambda M_1}\right)^3 + \dots\right).$$

A simple computation also yields that $1/\lambda M_1^2 - 1/M_0^2 \le 2(1-\lambda)/M^2$ for $k \ge 11$ (the left side may be negative; this does no harm).

Next, we just add everything to obtain an upper bound for $-H'(r)$. We also expand $1/M_0 = (1/M)(1-(r-1)/M) = M^{-1}\sum((r-1)/M)^j$ and similarly for $1/M_1$. The sum of the cubic and higher terms in the expansions of the logarithm is bounded above by $k^4/2M^4$, and that of the fourth order and higher terms in all the geometric expansions is bounded by $(k-1)/M^4$.

$$\begin{aligned}
-H'(r) &\le k\left(\frac{1}{M_0}+\frac{1}{M_1}\right) + \frac{k^2-k}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda M_1^2} - \frac{1}{M_0^2}\right) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{k-1}{4} + \frac{(k-1)^2}{2} + \frac{(k-1)^3}{3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{M_0^3} + \frac{1}{\lambda^2 M_1^3}\right) + \frac{1}{M^4}\left(\frac{k^4}{2} + (k-1)\right) + R_1 \\
&\le \frac{2}{M} - \frac{r(1-1/\lambda)-(1+1/\lambda)}{M^2} + \frac{r^2}{M^3}(1+1/\lambda) + \dots + \frac{1-\lambda}{2\lambda k^3} + \frac{C'}{k^4} \\
&\le \frac{1}{M}\left(2 + \frac{(1-\lambda)M}{2\lambda k^3} + \frac{C'M}{k^4}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

where $0 \le C' \le$??

Set $R = r + 1/(1+\lambda)$ (so $1/(1+\lambda) < R < k + 1/(1+\lambda)$). The inequality (2) thus holds if

$$R(k-R)\left(2 + \frac{(1-\lambda)M}{2\lambda k^3} + \frac{C'M}{k^4}\right) < M = \frac{k^2-3}{1+\lambda}.$$

We view this as a quadratic in R. Expanding

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{M}{(2+\frac{(1-\lambda)M}{2\lambda k^3} + \frac{C'M}{k^4}} &= \frac{k^2-3}{2(1+\lambda)}\left(1 - \frac{(1-\lambda)M}{4\lambda k^3} - \frac{C'M}{2k^4} + \dots\right) \\
&\ge \frac{k^2-3}{2(1+\lambda)}\left(1 - \frac{(1-\lambda)M}{4\lambda k^3}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we need only examine the (now normalized) quadratic inequality

$$R^2 - kR + \frac{k^2-3}{2(1+\lambda)}\left(1 - \frac{(1-\lambda)M}{4\lambda k^3}\right) > 0; \text{ that is,}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) \qquad (R-k/2)^2 &> -k^2\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2(1+\lambda)} + \frac{(1-\lambda)(k^2-3)}{4\lambda(1+\lambda)^2 k^3}\right) + \frac{3}{2(1+\lambda)} - \frac{3(1-\lambda)(k^2-3)}{4\lambda(1+\lambda)^2 k^3} \\
&= -k^2\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{4(1+\lambda)}\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\left(1-\frac{2}{k^2}\right)\frac{1}{\lambda(1+\lambda)}\right)\right) + \frac{3}{2(1+\lambda)}
\end{aligned}$$

We first observe that if $\lambda > 1 - (\ln k + 5)/k$ (as we may assume by earlier argument), then sufficient for the right side (the discriminant) to be negative is that $1 - \lambda > 6/(k^2(1 - \alpha/k)$ for any $\alpha < 1$. Hence if $\lambda \le 1 - (6 + 1/k)/k^2$, the inequality holds for all values of R in the relevant interval, and thus P_λ is unimodal. If however, $\lambda > 1 - (6 + 1/k)/k^2$, we reduce the problem to calculating a small number of coefficients.

Now assume that $\lambda = 1 - \gamma/k^2$ where $0 \le \gamma < 7$ and the inequality in (3) does not hold. The right side of (3) becomes

$$\frac{3}{4 - 2/\gamma^2} - \left(\frac{\gamma}{8 - 4\gamma/k^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k} \left(1 - \frac{2}{k^2} \right) \right) \frac{1}{\lambda(2 - \gamma/k^2)} \right) < \frac{3 - \gamma/2}{4} + \frac{1}{k}.$$

If $\gamma > 6 + 4/k$, this is negative, so the inequality holds for all relevant values of R. For $\gamma \le 6 + 4/k$, the inequality is valid providing

$$\left| R - \frac{k}{2} \right| \le \frac{\sqrt{3 - \gamma/2 + 4/k}}{2}.$$

So now we investigate what happens when this last inequality fails. We recall that $\lambda m/(1 + \lambda) + r$ must be an integer. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda m}{1 + \lambda} + r &= \frac{(1 - \gamma/k^2)(k^2 - 3)}{2 - \gamma/k^2} + R - \frac{1}{2 - \gamma/k^2} \\ &= R + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 - \gamma/2k^2} (k^2 - 4 - \gamma + 3\gamma/k^2) \\ &= R + \frac{1}{2} (k^2 - 4 - \gamma + 3\gamma/k^2) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\gamma/2k^2 \right)^j \\ &= R + \frac{k^2 - 4 - \gamma/2 + \frac{-\gamma^2 + 2\gamma}{2k^2}}{2} + e, \end{aligned}$$

where $-3\gamma/8k^4 < e < \gamma/10k^4$. If k is odd, this entails that the fractional part of R be the fractional part of $1/2 + \gamma/4 - f$ where $f \sim (-\gamma^2 + 2\gamma)/4k^2$ (to within $\gamma/10k^4$). If k is even, the fractional part of R is the fractional part of $\gamma/4 - f$.

In the former case, write $R = c + 1/2 + \gamma/4 - f$, and $k = 2t + 1$ (where c and t are integers). Then $R - k/2 = c - t + \gamma/4 - f$, and the absolute value of this is supposed to be less than $\sqrt{3 - \gamma/2 + 4/k}/2$. If k is instead even, then write $R = c + \gamma/4 - f$ and $k = 2t$; then $R - k/2$ is exactly the same as in the odd case.

Set $C = c - t - f$, so that C is within a tiny fraction of an integer. The inequality $(R - k/2)^2 < 3/4 - \gamma/8 + 1/k$ then translates to $(C + \gamma/4)^2 < 3/4 - \gamma/8 + 1/k$, that is

$$\gamma^2 + (8C + 2)\gamma + 16C^2 - 12 - 16/k < 0.$$

If $C > |f|$, then it is at least as large as a number close to 1, and we see quickly that when $k > 10$, the inequality is impossible. If C is close to zero, then $\gamma < 2.7$ (assuming $k \ge 42$). If C is close to -1, then $\gamma < 3.85$, and finally if C is close to -2 or any smaller integer, there are no solutions. The fact that C must be close to either 0 or -1 means we really only have to calculate a few coefficients of the original polynomial.

If C is close to 0, then $c = t$, and we can evaluate $\lambda m/(1+\lambda) + r$ (an integer):

(i) *If k is odd.* The nearest integer to $R+(1/2)(k^2-4-\gamma/2-2f+2e)$, where $R = k/2+\gamma/4-f+e$ and $\gamma < 2.7$; this is $(k^2+k-4)/2$ (second term to the left of the centre).

(ii) *If k is even.* The nearest integer to the same expression, where $R = c+\gamma/4-f+e$ and $k = 2t$; this is the nearest integer to $k/2 + (k^2-4)/2$, exactly as in case (i).

If C is close to -1, then $c = t-1$; when $k = 2t+1$ is odd, $R = t - 1/2 + \gamma/4 - f + e$, so $\lambda m/(1+\lambda)+r$ is $t - 1/2 + \gamma/4 - f + (k^2 - 4 - \gamma/2 + 2f - 2e)/2$, which is just $(k^2+k-6)/2$. The result for even k is the same.

Thus far, we have shown that if $\lambda < 1 - 3.85/k^2$, then P_λ is unimodal; and if $1 > \lambda \geq 1-3.85/k^2$, then the difference of consecutive coefficients in P_λ is decreasing up to $j = (k^2+k-8)/2$ and for j at least as large as $(k^2+k+2)/2$.

It remains to show that P_λ is unimodal for $1 > \lambda > 1 - 3.85/k^2$ (notice that if P_λ is unimodal for all $\lambda < 1$, then it is unimodal for $\lambda = 1$). We have that $(P_\lambda, x^{j+1} - x^j)$ is decreasing for all j in the intervals $[0, (k^2+k-8)/2]$ and $[(k^2+k+2)/2, k^2+k-3]$. Because of the overlap, it is sufficient to show $((P_\lambda, x^j))$ is unimodal for $\lambda m/(1+\lambda) < j < k + \lambda m/(1+\lambda)$.

Since $(P_\lambda, x^{j+1} - x^j)$ is decreasing on the interval $[0, (k^2+k-8)/2]$, it follows that the sequence $(P_\lambda, x^j)_{j=0}^{(k^2+k-8)/2}$ is unimodal. Denote $(k^2+k-8)/2 = (m+k-5)/2$ by s. By the $Q(5)/Q(3)$ formula,

$$(P_1, x^s) < (P_1, x^{s+1}) = (P_1, x^{s+2}) = (P_1, x^{s+3}) = (P_1, x^{s+4}) > (P_1, x^{s+5}).$$

Hence the sequence $((P_1, x^{s+i}))_{i=0}^5$ is strongly unimodal, and therefore the sequence $((P_\lambda, x^{s+i}))_{i=0}^5 = (\lambda^s \lambda^i (P_1, x^{s+i})_{i=0}^5$ is unimodal (it is of course strongly unimodal, but this is unnecessary here). Moreover, if $\lambda < 1$, the sequence $((P_\lambda, x^{s+i}))_{i=1}^5$ (beginning at $i = 1$ rather than $i = 0$) is monotone decreasing. As $(P_\lambda, x^{j+1} - x^j)$ is decreasing for $j \geq s-5$, it follows that the entire tail, $((P_\lambda, x^{s+i}))_{i \geq 1}$ is monotone decreasing.

Let ν denote the rightmost mode (integer where the maximum is attained). If $\nu = s$, then $(P_\lambda, x^j)_{j=0}^s$ is increasing and thus the entire sequence is unimodal (with mode at s). Suppose that $\nu < s$. Then unimodality of the entire sequence will result if $(P_\lambda, x^s) \geq (P_\lambda, x^{s+1})$. Since $(P_\lambda, x^s)/(P_\lambda, x^{s+1}) = \lambda^{-1}(P_1, x^s)/(P_1, x^{s+1})$, and the second factor is just $Q(5)/Q(3)$ evaluated at $m = k^2 - 3$, we see that if $\lambda \leq 1 - 32/(k^4+3k^2+4)$, then P_λ is unimodal.

This leaves the possibility that $\lambda > 1 - 32/(k^4+3k^2+4)$ but $\nu < s$. We show this is impossible. Since $\nu < s$ and ν is the largest mode, $(P_\lambda, x^{s-1}) > (P_\lambda, x^s)$. Then the ratio $(P_\lambda, x^{s-1})/(P_\lambda, x^s) = \lambda^{-1}(P_1, x^{s-1})/(P_1, x^s)$, and again the right factor is $Q(7)/Q(5)$ evaluated at $m = k^2 - 3$. This yields

$$\left.\frac{Q(7)}{Q(5)}\right|_{m=k^2-3} > \lambda > 1 - \frac{32}{k^4+3k^2+4}, \text{ so}$$

$$1 - \frac{128(k^2-3)}{k^6+14k^4+65k^2+112} > 1 - \frac{32}{k^4+3k^2+4}; \text{ equivalently}$$

$$\frac{1}{k^4+3k^2+4} > \frac{4(k^2-3)}{k^6+14k^4+65k^2+112}.$$

A quick check shows that this is false merely if $k > 3$. This completes the proof of the theorem. •

Addendum

Let f be a complex-valued function defined on a neighbourhood of the unit circle, that is at least C^2, and such that $f(1) = 1$. The *variance of f* is defined as $\mathcal{V}(f) = f''(1) + f'(1) - f'(1)^2$. Define, as in [H2], the class of entire functions,

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ \text{entire} f : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}, f(1) = 1 \;\middle|\; \text{if } |z| = 1, \text{ then } |f(z)|^2 \leq e^{-\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{V}(f) |1-z|^2} \right\}.$$

This class is closed with respect to products and uniform convergence on compact sets. It includes all real polynomials all of whose roots lie in the segment $|\arg z - \pi| \le \pi/3$. It is known (and easy to prove) [H2] that if p is a real polynomial with $p(1) = 1$, then there exists N such that $((1+x)/2)^N p$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}$. All known examples of real polynomials in $\mathcal{E}$ with no negative coefficients are strongly unimodal, so it will be of interest to check what the optimal value of N is when $p = (1 + x^k)/2$. Another surprise: N must be of order k^4.

Set $f = (1 + x)^m(1 + x^k)/2^{m+1}$. Then $\mathcal{V}(f) = m\mathcal{V}((1 + x)/2) + \mathcal{V}((1 + x^k)/2) = (m + k^2)/4$. From $|1 - e^{i\theta}|^2 = 4\sin^2\theta/2$, we have that for $z = e^{i\theta}$ (it is enough to deal with $0 \le \theta \le \pi$, since f has only real coefficients) to

$$|f(z)|^2 = \cos^{2m}\theta/2\,\cos^2(k\theta/2)$$
$$e^{-\mathcal{V}(f)|1-z|^2} = \exp(-(m + k^2)\sin^2\theta/2)$$

Thus the inequality $|f(z)|^2 \le e^{-\mathcal{V}(f)|1-z|^2}$ is equivalent (on multiplying by e^{m+k^2} and then taking mth roots,

$$e^{1+k^2/m}(\cos^2\theta/2)\cdot|\cos(k\theta/2)|^{2/m} \le \exp((1 + k^2/m)\cos^2\theta/2).$$

Consider the expression obtained by replacing the obnoxious term by 1 and the $\cos^2\theta/2$ by α (with $0 \le \alpha \le 1$), and for convenience, replace $1 + k^2/m$ by s (so $1 \le s$, and typically, s will be much less than 2),

$$F(\alpha) := e^{s\alpha} - \alpha e^s.$$

On the interval $[0, 1]$, F has a unique minimum, when $e^s = se^{s\alpha_0}$, that is, at $\alpha_0 = 1 - \ln s/s$, and $F(\alpha_0) = -(s - 1 - \ln s)e^s/s < 0$. In fact, if $k^2/m < 1$ (as it will be), then $s - 1 - \ln s = k^2/m - \ln(1 + k^2/m) = (k^2/m)^2(1/2 - k^2/3m + k^4/4m^2 - \dots) > 0$. If k^2/m is substantially less than 1, $F(\alpha_0) = -k^4/2m^2 + e$, where $e < k^6/3m^3$ is usually good enough in what follows.

Since $F(0) = 1$, F has a unique zero on $[0, 1)$, α_1, and $F(\alpha) > 0$ when $\alpha < \alpha_1$; in particular, a *necessary* condition for f to belong to $\mathcal{E}$ is that $\cos^2\pi/k \le \alpha_1$ (since if $\theta = 2\pi/k$, the obnoxious term evaluates to 1). We can approximate (for $k \ge 42$) α_1 by $1-2t+8t^2/3+\boldsymbol{O}\left(t^3\right)$ where $t = k^2/m$ will be surprisingly small.

Now consider $p(x) = (1 + x^k)/2$; then $\mathcal{V}(p) = k/4$, and we consider $e^{-\mathcal{V}(p)|1-z|^2} - |p(z)^2|$, that is, $g(\theta) = e^{-k\sin^2\theta/2} - \cos^2(k\theta/2)$ where $z = e^{i\theta}$. It is a triviality (from the additivity of $\mathcal{V}$, that is, $\mathcal{V}(ab) = \mathcal{V}(a) + \mathcal{V}(b)$, and that $(1 + x)/2$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}$) to see that if θ satisfies $g(\theta) \ge 0$, then $|f(z)|^2 \le e^{-\mathcal{V}(f)|1-z|^2}$. It is routine to verify that g is positive on an interval of the form $(0, \beta \equiv \beta(k)$, and a quick check shows that if $k = 42$, then $\beta = (3.45101 \pm 10^{-5})\pi/2k$. Since increasing k decreases $\cos^2\beta(k)$ (easy), it follows that for all $k \ge 42$, g is positive on $(0, 3.45\pi/2k)$. Set $\alpha_2 = \cos^2 3.45\pi/4k$. In particular, a *sufficient* condition for f to belong to $\mathcal{E}$ is that $\alpha_2 \le \alpha_1$. It is relatively easy to verify that when we permit larger k, the coefficient 3.45 can be replaced by anything less than 4 (for sufficiently large k).

Doing the numerics, the necessary condition includes $m > k^4/3\pi^2 \approx .034k^4$ and the sufficient condition is $m > .448k^4/\pi^2 \approx .045k^4$. (As k increases, the difference between the constants becomes arbitrarily small.) In particular, the $N = m$ in the formulation of this problem must be of order k^4.

Why is it so much more difficult for $(1 + x)^m(1 + x^k)/2^{m+1}$ to belong to $\mathcal{E}$ than for it to be strongly unimodal? I don't know.

References

[BH] BM Baker and DE Handelman, *Positive polynomials and time dependent integer-valued random variables,* Canadian J Math 44 (1992) 3–41.

[H] D Handelman, *Spectral radii of primitive integral companion matrices and log concave polynomials,* Symbolic Dynamics and its applications, Contemporary Mathematics 135 (1992) 231–237, AMS.

[H2] ibid, *Isomorphisms and non-isomorphisms of AT actions*, in press (to appear July 2009), J d'analyse mathmatiques, 105 pages.

[I] IA Ibragimov, *On the composition of unimodal distributions,* Theory Probab Appl, vol 1, Issue 2 (1956) 255–260.

[P] J Pitman, *Probabilistic bounds on the coefficients of polynomials with only real zeros,* Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 77 (1997) 279–303.

[S] Richard P Stanley, *Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry,* Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 576 (1989) 500–535.

David Handelman, Mathematics Dept, University of Ottawa, Ottawa ON K1N 6N5 Canada
e-mail: dehsg@uottawa.ca